



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,580	02/04/2002	Felix G.T.I. Andrew	I3768.241	5848
47973	7590	03/02/2009		
WORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT			EXAMINER	
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			BATURAY, ALICIA	
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			2446	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/067,580	ANDREW ET AL.
	Examiner Alicia Baturay	Art Unit 2446

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alicia Baturay (USPTO). (3) Michael B. Dodd (#46,437).
 (2) Jeffrey Pwu (USPTO). (4) Kirk Coombs (#63,249).

Date of Interview: 24 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant
 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: N/A.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dybedokken et al. (U.S. 6,760,411).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives initiated interview to clarify operation of the invention and advance prosecution. Applicant's representatives presented proposed claim amendments that they hoped would overcome the Dybedokken reference. The examiner informed Applicant's representatives that any amendments as discussed above would require further search and consideration to properly determine patentability of the claimed invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)	/Alicia Baturay/ Examiner, Art Unit 2446
---	---